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**Group Summary:** Overall I think our group worked very well together, we used multiple means of communication (Instagram, Learn and Email) in order to complete our weekly journals. I also think that for the most part we were pretty timely, the tone was always very kind and it made it super easy in order to communicate the ideas that we had. It was also very interesting to see how different we interpreted the prompts to come up with unique feedback and journals. Finally I think as a group we all improved quite a bit over the span of writing the journals.

**Veer Kashyap (92%):** Veer’s Feedback was always super helpful, they would usually be about different aspects of my journal (relatability, style, grammar and even process of presenting ideas.) I also think that he spent quite a bit of time writing each of his feedback assignments, which might have been reflected in the slight tardiness of his entries. However I feel the quality of his feedback more than makes up for the some of the small delays, as the way that he was able to communicate his improvements made his ideas the easiest to implement. His entries where usually around 30-50 words.

**Kevin Ma (92%):** Kevin acted much like the foil to Veer in that he would almost always have feedback done way before the deadline, however he wouldn’t write nearly as much. His content was still super helpful and often due to his earliness to give feedback would mean that the conversation would be steered by things that he would say. I also think that Kevin would focus on aspects that were generally not talked about by the group (he would often talk about excess/lack of content in areas of the journal that would make it harder to read.) His entries where usually around 10-30 words.

**Will Kitching (85%):** Will is hard to grade, he was the one that was the most active in the Instagram and would be the one who would start conversations about the content (often in the form of asking very helpful questions about the content). On the other hand he was slightly unreliable when it came to journal entries, however each one that he wrote was quite detailed and time was spent on it. I also think that he did a very good job incorporating the feedback and his journals improved the most over time out of all of us. His entries where usually 20-40 words.

**Robbie Knowles (95%):** I am a pretty weak writer, so I think it was hard for me to comment on a piece of work that was arguably better then what I had done for the week. But I worked really hard to help the group: I would almost always get my feedback done before the deadline, I wrote all my notes online so that we could collaborate and I would make sure to give lots of feedback. While more isn’t always better I made to sure to give feedback that covered at least style, content and flow. On top of this I would add an aspect to the end of my entry that would give an additional question to help answer if they chose that journal to be their revised journal. My entries were usually 70-110 words.